

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is being filed in response to the Final Office Action dated April 25, 2007. Reconsideration and allowance of the application in view of the amendments made above and the remarks to follow are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-24 are currently pending in the Application. Claims 1, 8, 15, 20 and 23 are independent claims.

By means of the present amendment, the claims have been amended to clarify the claimed invention. It is the Applicants' position that the claims as currently presented, are not narrowed in scope and no new matter is added. The claims have always required "formatting said retrieved, enhanced features" and not merely presenting a URL listing of web pages. Entry after final action is proper because the scope of the claims is not changed and no further searching is required. The claims were not amended in order to address issues of patentability and Applicants respectfully reserve all rights under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,751,392 (Zigmond) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,018,768 to (Ullman).

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner review the comments provided in the Office Action previously presented on January 29, 2007, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

Turning herein directly to Ullman, the Applicants have continuously maintained that Ullman merely produces a URL list that is not derived from the content. It is a mere listing of web pages that have been downloaded for selection and accessing by a user. In fact, the listing of URL's provided in FIG. 7 are formatted by an "instructor [that] creates a playlist (i.e. linkfile) 160, the playlist 160 comprising a listing of web pages text notes and questions." In fact, Ullman, other than this formatting provided by an instructor, operates similar to Zigmond in that URL's are time-stamped to provide the related web pages at a time determined by the time-stamp (see, Col. 8, lines 22-51). The personalization provided by Ullman is in selection of the URL's provided to a user and not in the presentation of the URL list as alleged in the Office Action (see, Office Action, page 5, lines 16-18). As stated in Ullman, a personalized list of URLs is provided. Ullman states that "[t]he stream of URLs sent would depend on a user profile

stored in the database 78 or the client software program 106 ..."
(See, Ullman, Col. 7, lines 12-30.)


Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the method of Claim 1 is not anticipated or made obvious by the teachings of Zigmond, Ullman, and combination thereof. For example, Zigmond, Ullman, nor combinations thereof disclose or suggest, a method that amongst other patentable elements, comprises (illustrative emphasis provided) "(e) processing said retrieved, enhanced features; and (f) formatting said processed retrieved, enhanced features according to predetermined criteria to generate a content list" as required by Claim 1, and as substantially required by each of Claims 8, 15, 20 and 23.

Based on the foregoing, the Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 1, 8, 15, 20 and 23 are patentable over Ullman and notice to this effect is earnestly solicited. Claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-19, 21, 22, 24 respectively depend from one of Claims 1, 8, 15, 20 and 23 and accordingly are allowable for at least this reason as well as for the separately patentable elements contained in each of said claims. Accordingly, separate consideration of each of the dependent claims is respectfully requested.

In addition, Applicants deny any statement, position or averment of the Examiner that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing argument and response. Any rejections and/or points of argument not addressed would appear to be moot in view of the presented remarks. However, the Applicants reserve the right to submit further arguments in support of the above stated position, should that become necessary. No arguments are waived and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.

Applicants have made a diligent and sincere effort to place this application in condition for immediate allowance and notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Gregory L. Thorne, Reg. 39,398
Attorney for Applicant(s)
June 8, 2007

THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP
Applied Technology Center
111 West Main Street
Bay Shore, NY 11706
Tel: (631) 665-5139
Fax: (631) 665-5101